

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/040,036	01/03/2002	Prabir K. Dutta	OSU1159-159A	1840
8698	7590 04/30/2004		EXAMINER	
0111112111	LAW GROUP LLP	OLSEN, KAJ K		
495 METRO PLACE SOUTH SUITE 210			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DUBLIN, OH	43017		1753	
			DATE MAILED: 04/30/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

age- for-	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/040,036	DUTTA ET AL.				
riarizery riester.	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kaj K Olsen	1753				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 12 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
-	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advievent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions.	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S 36(a) and the appropriate	See MPEP			
nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be						
(a) Key raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);	-			
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of f	finally rejected clair	ms.			
NOTE: (see attached discussion).						
3.igotimes Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s): (see attached discussion	<u>ı)</u> .				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	r reconsideration has been cons	idered but does NO	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-17</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·				
10. ☐ Other:						
		5				

Application/Control Number: 10/040,036

Art Unit: 1753

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

- 1. The verified statement filed on 4-15-2004 under 37 CFR 1.131 is sufficient to overcome the Szabo et al reference.
- 2. Applicant's amendment will not be entered because the limitation drawn to the oxidizing of NO to NO2 is a new issue requiring further consideration. In addition, applicant introduced five new claims without canceling a corresponding number of previously pending claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kaj Olsen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1344. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 6:30 A.M. to 4:00 P.M. and on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam Nguyen, can be reached on 571-272-1342. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 3

Kaj Olsen Ph.D. Primary Examiner AU 1753

April 27, 2004